

Metadata Report

Project Name

Zig Zag Landslide, Snow Basin Road (UT-226), Morgan County, Utah – Aerial reconnaissance and landslide monitoring project (June 2019)

Summary

The Zig Zag landslide is located along the side of Snow Basin Road (UT-226) in Morgan County, Utah. The Utah Geological Survey (UGS) began monitoring the movement with high-accuracy GPS in 2005, and currently collect GPS movement data on a yearly basis. Using Structure from Motion (SFM), the landslide was surveyed in June 2019.

Personnel

• PI(s)

Adam I. Hiscock (adamhiscock@utah.gov)

• Field staff

Adam I. Hiscock, Ben E. Erickson, Greg N. McDonald, Nathan Payne

• Additional team members

Site Information

• Site description

Landslide along Snow Basin Road (Utah Highway 226) in Morgan County, Utah.

• Site objective

Collect SFM data for the active landslide to assist in landslide monitoring and movement.

- Site location (GPS cords and/or map)
- 41.215231°, -111.852547°
 - Site conditions

Mid-day, cool temperature, some small clouds

• Date/time spent at each site

Flights conducted on 6/11/2019 at approximately 12:30 PM

Survey Results

• Equipment used

DJI Phantom 4 Pro drone with 20 MP camera and fixed 8.8 mm focal length for image collection. Trimble R8 GNSS unit for Ground Control Point (GCP) survey data collection.



• GPS solutions

6 GCPs were surveyed using the Utah Reference Network (TURN) real-time kinematic network and processed in WGS 84.

• Errors

Overall point cloud error was 18.03 cm using all 6 GCPs. GCP error was 17.96 cm horizontal and 1.59 cm vertical.

- Alignments
- Collection methods

338 images were acquired from 100 ft (30 m) altitude at nadir. Camera positions, overlaps, and orientations were controlled automatically using Pix4D software running on an iPad. Images were processed using Agisoft Metashape Professional (see below for processing details). GCPs were provided by installing orange, black, and white bucket lid targets for visibility in images. GCPs were surveyed and processed in UTM North Zone 12, WGS 84 Datum, g12aus geoid.

Products

• Date of dataset collection 10/30/2018

• Coordinate system of datasets WGS 84 datum (EPSG::4326)

Spatial resolution

Ground resolution – 1.16 cm/pix, DEM resolution 4.63 cm/pix, Point density – 466 points/m²

• Horizontal Accuracy

17.96 cm

• Vertical Accuracy

1.59 cm

• Data formats

Raw point cloud is provided in .LAZ format. DEM and orthomosaic are provided as geotiff.

• Data processing methods

Point cloud, DEM, and orthomosaic data were generated by Agisoft Metashape Professional.

Misc Notes

Please send any questions about this dataset to adamhiscock@utah.gov

Agisoft Metashape

Processing Report Zig Zag Landslide, June 2019, Snow Basin Road (UT-226), Morgan County, Utah 12 August 2019



Survey Data



Fig. 1. Camera locations and image overlap.

Number of images:	338	Camera stations:	338
Flying altitude:	43.9 m	Tie points:	29,848
Ground resolution:	1.16 cm/pix	Projections:	333,768
Coverage area:	0.0283 km²	Reprojection error:	0.453 pix

Camera Model	Resolution	Focal Length	Pixel Size	Precalibrated
FC6310 (8.8mm)	5472 x 3648	8.8 mm	2.41 x 2.41 µm	No

Table 1. Cameras.

Camera Calibration



Fig. 2. Image residuals for FC6310 (8.8mm).

FC6310 (8.8mm)

338 images

e me		Resolution 5472 x 3648		Focal Length 8.8 mm				Pixel Size 2.41 x 2.41 μm					
	Value	Error	F	Сх	Су	B1	B2	К1	К2	КЗ	К4	P1	P2
F	3654.86	0.32	1.00	0.00	-0.99	0.59	0.08	0.01	-0.03	0.08	-0.11	0.02	-0.2
Cx	-8.05003	0.025		1.00	-0.01	0.01	-0.28	-0.01	0.00	-0.00	0.00	0.77	-0.0
Су	6.14743	0.18			1.00	-0.61	-0.08	-0.05	0.07	-0.11	0.14	-0.03	0.24
B1	1.54325	0.063				1.00	0.07	0.00	-0.04	0.07	-0.09	0.04	-0.0
B2	0.932301	0.051					1.00	0.02	-0.01	0.01	-0.02	0.07	-0.0
К1	0.00950533	5.7e-005						1.00	-0.96	0.92	-0.87	-0.01	-0.1
К2	-0.0662045	0.00029							1.00	-0.99	0.96	0.01	0.00
КЗ	0.124209	0.00056								1.00	-0.99	-0.01	-0.02
К4	-0.075675	0.00037									1.00	0.01	0.03
P1	-0.000775545	1.9e-006										1.00	-0.0
P2	-0.00121133	2.9e-006											1.00

Table 2. Calibration coefficients and correlation matrix.

Camera Locations



Fig. 3. Camera locations and error estimates.

Z error is represented by ellipse color. X,Y errors are represented by ellipse shape. Estimated camera locations are marked with a black dot.

X error (m)	Y error (m)	Z error (m)	XY error (m)	Total error (m)
1.79918	0.906289	43.2731	2.01455	43.3199

Table 3. Average camera location error.

X - Longitude, Y - Latitude, Z - Altitude.

Ground Control Points





Z error is represented by ellipse color. X,Y errors are represented by ellipse shape. Estimated GCP locations are marked with a dot or crossing.

Count	X error (cm)	Y error (cm)	Z error (cm)	XY error (cm)	Total (cm)
6	5.2623	17.1706	1.5955	17.9589	18.0296

Table 4. Control points RMSE.

X - Longitude, Y - Latitude, Z - Altitude.

Label	X error (cm)	Y error (cm)	Z error (cm)	Total (cm)	Image (pix)
ZZS01	4.28017	-25.6036	1.0936	25.9819	0.132 (94)
ZZS02	-10.7731	26.5832	2.00709	28.7534	0.268 (81)
ZZS03	5.41629	3.50473	-0.451092	6.46705	0.210 (27)
ZZS05	-0.29171	-7.06686	0.629829	7.10086	0.152 (89)
ZZS04	-0.155017	-11.774	-0.241467	11.7775	0.247 (40)
ZZS06	1.52523	14.3497	-3.06445	14.7523	0.192 (70)
Total	5.2623	17.1706	1.5955	18.0296	0.198

Table 5. Control points.

X - Longitude, Y - Latitude, Z - Altitude.

Digital Elevation Model



Fig. 5. Reconstructed digital elevation model.

Resolution: Point density: 4.63 cm/pix 466 points/m²

Processing Parameters

General

Cameras Aligned cameras Markers Coordinate system Rotation angles **Point Cloud** Points RMS reprojection error Max reprojection error Mean key point size Point colors Key points Average tie point multiplicity **Alignment parameters** Accuracy Generic preselection Reference preselection Key point limit Tie point limit Adaptive camera model fitting Matching time Alignment time **Optimization parameters** Parameters Adaptive camera model fitting Optimization time Software version **Dense Point Cloud** Points Point colors Depth maps generation parameters Quality Filtering mode Processing time Dense cloud generation parameters Max neighbors Processing time Software version Model Faces Vertices Vertex colors Texture Depth maps generation parameters Quality Filtering mode Processing time **Reconstruction parameters** Surface type

338 338 6 WGS 84 (EPSG::4326) Yaw, Pitch, Roll 29,848 of 34,089 0.22006 (0.452963 pix) 1.48717 (9.92444 pix) 2.11943 pix 3 bands, uint8 No 13.9586 Highest Yes Yes 40,000 1,000 Yes 5 minutes 16 seconds 1 minutes 13 seconds f, b1, b2, cx, cy, k1-k4, p1, p2 No 33 seconds 1.5.2.7838 17,812,324 3 bands, uint8 Medium Aggressive 1 hours 24 minutes All 50 minutes 57 seconds 1.5.2.7838 3,562,452 1,786,860 3 bands, uint8 4,096 x 4,096, 4 bands, uint8 Medium Aggressive 1 hours 24 minutes Arbitrary

Page 8

General

Source data Interpolation Strict volumetric masks Processing time **Texturing parameters** Blending mode Texture size Enable hole filling Enable ghosting filter UV mapping time Blending time Software version DEM Size Coordinate system **Reconstruction parameters** Source data Interpolation Processing time Software version Orthomosaic Size Coordinate system Colors **Reconstruction parameters** Blending mode Surface Enable hole filling Processing time Software version Software Version Platform

Dense cloud Enabled No 23 minutes 10 seconds Mosaic 4,096 Yes Yes 1 minutes 50 seconds 53 minutes 37 seconds 1.5.2.7838 4,793 x 5,997 WGS 84 (EPSG::4326) Dense cloud Enabled 20 seconds 1.5.2.7838 14,760 x 19,188 WGS 84 (EPSG::4326) 3 bands, uint8 Mosaic DEM Yes 8 minutes 42 seconds 1.5.2.7838

1.5.3 build 8469 Windows 64